



'Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of her Majesty's Stationery Office (c) Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.'

Ň

Scale: 1:1,250

Date: 2 March 2023

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS ASSESSMENT

Surveyor:	Stewart Bee Date: 18/	1/23	
Owner:			
Location:	The Paddock to the North West of Ladye Place, Riverbank Cottage, Bank Cottage and adjacent to Ferry Lane		
Tree species:	T1 Sycamore, G1 8 Sycamore, G2 2 sycamore and 1 oak, G3 4 Sycam	ore, T2 willow	

### PART 1: AMENITY ASSESSMENT

#### A) Condition and suitability for TPO (NB: Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly suitable	After surveying the previous Area TPO we have selected 2 individual trees and 3 groups to be covered by a revised
<u>3</u>	Fair	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	TPO. These are the better quality trees, the remainder are excluded because they are not of sufficient quality to merit a
0	Unsafe	Unsuitable	<ul> <li>TPO. The assessment was aided by a Tree survey provid by the original owners</li> </ul>
0	Dead	Unsuitable	

#### B) Remaining longevity and suitability for TPO (NB: Refer to 'Species guide in guidance note)

Score	Age	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly suitable	
<u>4</u>	40 -100	Very suitable	
2	20 - 40	Suitable	Young mature trees
1	10 – 20	Just suitable	
0	<10	Unsuitable	

### C) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO (NB: Consider future potential refer to guidance note)

Score	Visibility	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large or large trees that are prominent landscape features	Highly suitable	Trees are prominent Ferry Lane
<u>4</u>	Large/medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium/larger trees with limited view only	Just suitable	
2	Small/larger trees visible only with difficulty	Unlikely to be suitable	
1	Trees that are not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably unsuitable	

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees	
4	Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance	None
2	Trees of good form, especially if rare or unusual	
<u>1</u>	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	

D) Other factors (NB: Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (no zeros) to qualify)

# PART 2: EXPEDIENCY ASSESSMENT (NB: Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify)

Score	Expediency	Notes	
5	Known threat to tree		
3	Perceived threat to tree	An Area TPO was originally placed on the trees but after a	
<u>2</u>	Reasonably foreseeable threat to tree	detailed inspection only the selected trees are now	
1	Threat to tree not reasonably foreseeable	- considered to be of sufficient quality to include in a new TPO	
0	Tree known to be an actionable nuisance		

# PART 3: DECISION GUIDE

Score	ТРО	Total	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	3+4+4+1+2=14	
1-10	Does not merit TPO		
11-13	Possibly merits TPO		
14+	Definitely merits TPO		

Signature: Stewart Bee

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

TPO Reference No:	Tree Number	File Reference	Case officer	